

FROM THE EDITORS

With a great deal of alacrity, yet some measure of trepidation, your editors returned to Tucson from various summer clerkships and governmental programs determined to make their contribution to this, our 10th year of publication. Three months later, our ideals somewhat tempered by experience, and rightly so, we find the first of four issues in print.

In an article on a subject that has long needed treatment, Mr. Craig Kepner, Esq., Phoenix attorney and former member of this Review, examines the effect of the *Mayflower* decision on Arizona automobile liability insurance law. Professor Lenoir provides an analysis of Arizona perpetuities law, necessitated by the legislation suggested by Professor Richard Powell. Recommended reading for all is Professor Woods' article, "The Suppression of the Press in Early Pennsylvania: The Penumbra of Bayard v. Passmore," which we refer to as "The First Amendment — According to Woods."

Appearing for the first time in this issue is the section "Legislative Commentary." To be published intermittently, this section is intended to provide information concerning new or pending legislation.

Beginning substantially in this issue, we are adopting a format for second-year writers that traditionally has been reserved for third-year members. Our purpose is twofold: first, we feel our readers will benefit from the expanded treatment; more important however, the individual writer will receive the experience necessary for a more meaningful contribution in his third-year. In the future, student work, except for special projects, will generally appear in the form of a "comment" (a detailed discussion of a topic utilizing a court decision or legislative enactment as a point of departure) or, a "note" (an extensive, in depth analysis of an area, sometimes referred to as a "student article").

Your attention is directed to the student note by James Sult, "Marketability and the Mining Law: The Effect of *United States v. Coleman*," which we feel is one of the most outstanding pieces of student work we have read.

Included in future issues this year will be articles on group legal services, the proposed code of legal ethics, accounts receivable financing under the U.C.C., the Uniform Testamentary Addition to Trusts Act and the Uniform Simultaneous Death Act.

Student work in progress includes an analysis of the problems raised by the *Texas Gulf Sulphur* decision, an examination of Arizona's new insanity law, and the Arizona and Ninth Circuit Notes.

Of particular interest will be our next issue, a symposium on Indian Law. This growing area of concern should be of special matter to attorneys in the West.

