

ARIZONA LAW REVIEW

VOLUME 12

FALL 1970

NUMBER 3

CONTENTS

Page

ARTICLES

- How to Succeed in Business
Without Being Tried—The
Potentiality of Antitrust Prosecution *Lee Loevinger* 443

The author, a former Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust Division, investigates the Justice Department's recent challenges of conglomerate mergers. He concludes that the economic, philosophical and legal premises underlying these challenges are fallacious and suggests that they constitute an inroad on our democratic tradition.

- Napalm, Proxy Proposals and the SEC *Donald S. Chisum* 463

In *Medical Committee for Human Rights v. SEC* the District of Columbia Court of Appeals reviewed the SEC's informal action in approving the omission of a shareholder proxy proposal. The decision expanded the scope of judicial review of informal administrative proceedings and gave new content to the SEC's shareholder proposal rules. The author examines this decision and its ramifications and argues that the SEC should relax its present policy and allow a greater number of shareholder proposals.

- Residency Requirements for Voting *David Cocanower* 477
and
David Rich

The constitutionality of state durational residency requirements for voting has recently been challenged in a series of federal district court cases. The authors review these cases, examine the Supreme Court's decision on the Voting Rights Act Amendments of 1970 in *United States v. Arizona* and conclude that durational requirements as presently enforced are unconstitutional infringements on the franchise.

NOTES

- Economic Incentives for Pollution
Abatement: Applying Theory
to Practice *Kenneth R. Reed* 511

This note examines the various types of economic incentives used to induce industry to reduce its emissions of effluents and effluvia. It is argued that the present forms of tax relief are inadequate vehicles to accomplish this end. Rather, greater emphasis should be placed on systems of emission charges where industry must pay to dispose of its wastes in waterways and the atmosphere.

AICPA Efforts to Curb Abuses
in Accounting for Corporate
Acquisitions: Their Inadequacies
and a Proposed Solution

Lawrence R. Wilson 543

The recent AICPA changes in accounting methods for corporate acquisitions are reviewed, their inadequacies are considered and a more satisfactory solution is proposed. Arguing that the changes are aimed only at mitigating, rather than solving, present deficiencies in accounting, the writer contends that they will fail to prevent future abuses.

COMMENTS

Consumer Protection Under the
UCCC and the NCA—A Comparison
and Recommendations

Lorin G. Tobler 572

The *Uniform Consumer Credit Code* and the *National Consumer Act* were drafted to give the consumer greater protection in every aspect of his credit transactions. The comment compares selected portions of the two codes and suggests how they may be improved in their treatment of debt collection practices, repossession, exemptions from attachment, the holder in due course doctrine, and waiver of defense clauses.

Indian Legal Services Programs:
The Key to Red Power?

Robert C. Swan 594

This comment examines the Office of Economic Opportunity legal services programs servicing the Papago and Navajo Reservations. The author discusses unique problems encountered by the OEO lawyers as a consequence of the reservation environment and evaluates the programs' efforts to combat reservation poverty by assisting Indians' self-determination.

The *Arizona Law Review* is published four times a year in the Fall, Winter, Spring, and Summer by the University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721. Subscription price \$6.00 a year. Single issues \$2.00. If subscription is to be discontinued at expiration, notice to that effect should be sent, otherwise it will be assumed a continuation is desired. For single issues and bound or unbound volumes in Volumes 1 through 11, inquire of Fred B. Rothman & Company, 57 Leuning Street, South Hackensack, New Jersey 07606. Copies of Volume 12 issues are available from the *Review*. Copyright 1971 by the Arizona Board of Regents. Second-class postage paid at Tucson, Arizona, and at additional mailing offices. The *Review* is a student-edited journal, and the views expressed herein are not necessarily those of the Faculty of the College of Law, the University of Arizona, or the Arizona Board of Regents. Postmaster: Send Form 3579 to Arizona Law Review, Tucson, Arizona 85721.