

# ARIZONA LAW REVIEW

VOLUME 13

1971

NUMBER 1

## CONTENTS

### Special Project

#### THE ADMINISTRATION OF PSYCHIATRIC JUSTICE: THEORY AND PRACTICE IN ARIZONA

	<i>Page</i>
INTRODUCTION .....	1
PART I: THE CIVIL COMMITMENT PROCESS .....	5
Models of Civil Commitment .....	5
Admission of Patients into a Mental Hospital: Theoretical Bases and Statutory Schemes in General .....	6
Arizona Statutory Scheme .....	12
Voluntary Admission .....	12
Medical Certification .....	13
Emergency Certification .....	14
Judicial Commitment .....	14
Prehearing Procedures .....	16
Petition and Detention Order .....	16
Pre-petition screening .....	16
The Petition .....	18
The Detention Order .....	23
The Detention Facilities .....	25
Maricopa County .....	26
Pima County .....	27
The Twelve Rural Counties .....	27
Detention in Hospital Facilities .....	28
Detention in the County Jail .....	28
Prehearing Rights .....	29
Notice .....	29
Right to a Speedy Hearing .....	31
Right to Counsel .....	32
Self-incrimination .....	35

	<i>Page</i>
The Hearing .....	38
An Overview .....	38
Maricopa County .....	38
Pima County .....	42
The Role of Counsel .....	51
The Role of the Physician .....	60
The Patient and the Commitment Procedure .....	66
Effect of Drugs on Will to Resist .....	66
Arizona Drug Practice .....	68
The Trauma of a Due Process Hearing .....	69
The Situation in Arizona .....	73
Some Elements of the Judicial Decision-Making Process and Their Implications .....	76
The Exploration of Alternatives to Hospitalization ....	77
The Assessment of Costs .....	80
Cost Assessment in Arizona .....	83
Civil Incompetency — A Concomitant of Commit- ment? .....	88
Determination of Incompetency .....	88
Restoration to Competency .....	90
Consequences of a Determination of Incompe- tency: Toward a Theory of Selective In- competency .....	91
Dangerousness and Committability—The Standard in Ari- zona .....	96
The Problem of Prediction .....	96
The Definition of Dangerousness in Arizona Rural Counties .....	100
Maricopa County: A Systematic Study of the Dan- gerousness Criteria .....	101
The Impact of a more Rigorous Definition of Dan- gerousness for Commitment Purposes .....	111
Community Mental Health: Social Impact and Legal Implica- tions .....	118
Community Mental Health and Crisis Intervention .....	118
Community Mental Health in Arizona .....	127
Dichotomous State Scheme .....	127
Maricopa County Facilities .....	128
County Health Department .....	128
Other Maricopa County Facilities .....	129
Pima County Facilities .....	132
Pima Combined Program .....	132
Tucson-Southern Counties Mental Center .....	134

	<i>Page</i>
Impact of Community Mental Health on Commitments from Pima County .....	135
Coconino County .....	136
The Remaining Counties .....	137
Conclusion .....	138
The Constitutional Doctrine of Less Drastic Means .....	140
Due Process and Less Drastic Means .....	141
Equal Protection .....	144
 PART II: THE CRIMINAL COMMITMENT PROCESS .....	 147
Commitment and Release of Persons Found Not Guilty by	
Reason of Insanity .....	147
Commitment following an NGRI verdict in Arizona .....	149
NGRI v. Civil Commitment .....	150
The Test of <i>Bolton v. Harris</i> .....	151
Recommended Procedure for NGRI Commitment .....	153
Release after NGRI Commitment in Arizona .....	154
<i>Bolton v. Harris</i> and Release Procedures .....	156
Field findings .....	158
Conclusion .....	158
Incompetency to Stand Trial .....	160
Standards .....	162
Commitment for examination and treatment .....	165
Length of confinement .....	168
Concluding remarks .....	171
Prison-to-Hospital Transfers .....	175
The Process of Prison-to-Hospital Transfer in Arizona .....	176
The Selection of Prisoners for Commitment .....	180
The Prisoner's Perspective .....	183
Length of Incarceration .....	183
Treatment .....	186
Conclusion .....	188
 PART III: AFTER COMMITMENT: THE ARIZONA STATE HOSPITAL .....	 189
Life at the Hospital .....	189
Patient I .....	190
Patient II .....	192
Patient III .....	195
Patient IV .....	198
Patient V .....	200

	<i>Page</i>
Rights of Patients .....	207
Interview Regarding Rights of Patients .....	208
Other Aspects of Patients' Rights .....	217
Conclusion .....	224
The Right to Treatment .....	228
The Arizona Situation .....	229
PART IV: GENERAL CONCLUSION .....	237
Opinion No. 61-22 .....	239
Arizona's Need for Reform .....	241
APPENDIX A .....	243
APPENDIX B .....	250
APPENDIX C .....	255

## ILLUSTRATION

1. Observers' Rating Form .....	102
---------------------------------	-----

## TABLES\*

I. The Highest Ratings of Committability for Those Committed Patients Rated as Borderline or Clearly Committable by the Preponderance of the Evidence .....	106
II. The Highest Ratings of Committability for Those Committed Patients Rated as Clearly Committable by the Preponderance of the Evidence .....	107
III. The Highest Ratings of Committability for Those Committed Patients Rated as Clearly Committable or Borderline by Proof Beyond a Reasonable Doubt .....	107
IV. The Highest Ratings of Committability for Those Committed Patients Rated as Clearly Committable by Proof Beyond a Reasonable Doubt .....	108
V. Patients Committable Only Under Broadest Definition of Dangerousness or Not Dangerous .....	110
VI. Patients Committable Under Hypothetical Definition of Commitment Standards .....	112
VII. Patients Committable Under the California 90-Day Standard .....	113

---

\* A substantial number of tables found in footnotes and Appendices B and C are not listed here.

VIII. Patients Detainable Under California's Maximum 28-Day Detention for Suicidal Patients .....	114
IX. Patients Detainable as Gravely Disabled .....	115
X. Patients Committable Under the Standard Proposed By the Center for Study of Responsive Law .....	116
XI. Number of Commitment Hearings Held in Pima County During 1969 and 1970 .....	136
XII. Distribution of Mental Health Resources in Arizona .....	138
XIII. Patients Committed to the Arizona State Hospital as Incompetent to Stand Trial .....	169
XIV. Funding of Mental Hospitals .....	230

---

The *Arizona Law Review* is published four times a year by the University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721. The *Review* is a student-edited journal, and the views expressed herein are not necessarily those of the Faculty of the College of Law, the University of Arizona, or the Arizona Board of Regents.

Subscription price \$6.00 a year. Single issues \$2.50. If subscription is to be discontinued at expiration, notice to that effect must be sent, otherwise it will be assumed a continuation is desired. For single issues and bound or unbound volumes in Volumes 1 through 11, inquire of Fred B. Rothman & Company, 57 Leuning Street, South Hackensack, New Jersey 07606. A limited number of copies of Volume 12 issues are available from the *Review*.

Copyright 1971 by the Arizona Board of Regents. Second-class postage paid at Tucson, Arizona, and at additional mailing offices. Postmaster: Send Form 3579 to Arizona Law Review, Tucson, Arizona 85721.