ARIZONA LAW REVIEW

VOLUME 25

1983

NUMBER 3

CONTENTS

Artičles

	Page
PRODUCT SIMULATION AND CONTRIBUTORY	
Trademark Infringement: A Right Suggests a Wrong	601
	001
This Article considers contributory trademark infringement in the context of intellectual property and unfair competition law. In particular, the author discusses <i>Inwood Laboratories, Inc. v. Ives Laboratories</i> and the tension between that holding and the <i>Sears</i> and <i>Compco</i> right to copy.	
THE TRANSPORTATION PROVISIONS OF	
Arizona's 1980 Groundwater Management	
ACT: A PROPOSED DEFINITION OF	
Compensable Injury	655
Under the common law of groundwater fashioned by the Arizona Supreme Court before 1980, irrigating farmers had an action for injunction against cities or mines transporting groundwater off the overlying land for use elsewhere. The 1980 Arizona Groundwater Management Act abolishes the injunctive remedy but makes certain transfers "subject to the payment of damages." This Article proposes a definition of compensable injury against which these damages would be measured.	
Essay	
WHOSE WEALTH IS IT ANYWAY? IMPEDIMENTS	
to the Realization of an Owner's Plan of	
DISPOSITION William Schwartz	671
The author critically examines modern estate planning laws and argues that the current legal scheme may frustrate the implementation of an owner's plan of disposition.	
Notes	
ACCESS FOR CATV MEETS THE TAKING CLAUSE:	
THE PER SE TAKINGS RULE OF LORETTO V.	
TELEPROMPTER MANHATTAN CATV CORP Steven N. Berger	689
The author traces the development of the physical invasion test in the law of inverse condemnation to its current status as expounded in <i>Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan CATV Corp.</i> The effect of the current law on cable television installations is analyzed, and the author offers suggestions to states wishing to expedite CATV installations without violating the rules of <i>Loretto</i> .	

DE	fective Newborns and Secțion 504 of the	
	REHABILITATION ACT: LEGISLATION BY	
	ADMINISTRATIVE FIAT?Joy E. Herr-Cardillo	709
	This Note discusses the application of section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act to passive euthanasia for birth-defective newborns. The author examines the regulations proposed by the Department of Health and Human Services, considering their statutory basis and constitutional implications.	
	Recent Decisions	
Ī.	Administrative Law and Procedure	
	Scope of the Jurisdiction Exception to the Doctrine of	
	EXHAUSTION IN ARIZONA'S ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW ACT:	
	A.R.S. Section 12-902(B)	
	State v. ex rel. Dandoy v. City of	
	Phoenix Bruce Lee Skolnik	735
II.		
	EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES JUSTIFYING RELIEF FROM	
	JUDGMENT UNDER RULE 60(C)(6)	
***	Webb v. Erickson	747
III.		
	EMINENT DOMAIN: ADMISSIBILITY OF PLANNED USES FOR CONDEMNATION VALUATION	
	City of Scottsdale v. Church of the	
	Holy Cross LutheranKurt Wiese	761
ΙV	FAMILY LAW	701
	MEDICAL DEPENDENCY IN ARIZONA: THE "KNOWN MEDICAL	
	Danger" Standard of In Re Cochise County Juvenile	
	ACTION No. 5666-J	
	In Re Cochise County Juvenile Action	
	No. 5666-J	769
V.	Property Law	
	A. Protecting the Mortgagee's Right to Enforce a	
	TIME-IS-OF-THE-ESSENCE PROVISION: AN ANALYSIS OF	
	FIRST FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION OF PHOE-	
	NIX V. RAM	
	First Federal Savings and Loan Assoc. of Phoenix v. Ram	700
		780
	B. IMPLIED COVENANTS OF CONTINUOUS OPERATION IN COM- MERCIAL LEASE SETTINGS	
	Mercial Lease Settings Walgreen Arizona Drug Co. v. Plaza	
	Center CorpThomas R. Lofy	792
	Comp Dojy	