

# ARIZONA LAW REVIEW

VOLUME 26

1984

NUMBER 2

## CONTENTS

*Page*

IN MEMORIAM—JACK G. MARKS ..... *Stanley G. Feldman* 233

### *Essays*

RESPONSE TO MESSRS. NEUBORNE AND  
SIMS ..... *Rex E. Lee* 237

Professor Lee responds to Professor Neuborne and Mr. Sim's essay, *Judicial Review and Fundamental Rights: A Response to Professor Lee*, published in our previous issue.

THE CHANGELESS, EVER-CHANGING UNIVERSITY:  
THE ROLE OF THE LAW SCHOOL..... *John E. Cribbet* 241

In this essay, Chancellor Cribbet takes a critical look at higher education, past and future, and examines the role of the law school within that context.

STRICT PRODUCT LIABILITY AND DESIGN  
DEFECTS IN ARIZONA..... *Roger C. Henderson* 261

Professor Henderson discusses Arizona's adoption of a strict tort liability rule for product and design defects which result in physical harm to persons and tangible things.

### *Articles*

INDECENCY ON THE CABLE: CAN IT BE  
REGULATED? ..... *Robert E. Riggs* 269

This Article explores whether state and local governments may regulate the presentation on cable television of sexually explicit material; or whether such transmissions are protected by the first amendment. The author concludes that regulation which precisely defines indecency, tailored to the protection of children and privacy in the home, will withstand constitutional challenge.

TOWARD NEW STANDARDS FOR THE NEUTRAL  
LAWYER IN MEDIATION ..... *Leonard L. Riskin* 329

This Article examines mediation as an alternative method of resolving disputes. The author focuses on the lawyer's role in mediation and the potential problems that can arise as a result of the lawyer's participation. Professor Riskin concludes that the effectiveness of neutral lawyering would be enhanced by the development of fairness and maximization standards.

## Notes'

PRODUCT LIABILITY STATUTES OF REPOSE AS CONFLICTING WITH STATE CONSTITUTIONS: THE PLAINTIFFS ARE WINNING .....	<i>Thomas E. Dennis</i>	363
--	-------------------------	-----

This Note explains the rise of products liability statutes of repose, defines such statutes, reviews state court decisions on their constitutionality, and explores possible constitutional conflicts in Arizona.

DEFINING STATE LAW ACCORDING TO STATE COURT RESULTS: THE ARIZONA CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE RULE IN FEDERAL TORT CLAIMS ACT CASES ....	<i>John R. Hannah</i>	377
---	-----------------------	-----

This Note addresses the issues raised by Arizona's unique contributory negligence rule in Federal Tort Claims Act cases. The author argues that Arizona's federal courts should adopt the Arizona contributory negligence rule in FTCA cases despite the absence of a jury. In an addendum the author considers the effect that the new comparative negligence legislation will have on this question.

EXPERT TESTIMONY ON EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATION: INVADING THE PROVIDENCE OF THE JURY? .....	<i>Cathy M. Holt</i>	399
--	----------------------	-----

This Note discusses *State v. Chapple* and the introduction of expert testimony on the reliability of eyewitness identification.

TRANSFERABILITY UNDER THE PAPAGO WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT .....	<i>Nancy K. Laney</i>	421
---	-----------------------	-----

This Note examines the legislative settlement of conflicting water rights between the Papago Indian Tribe and its Southern Arizona neighbors. The author discusses the issue of transferability of Indian water rights in general and specifically considers the legal and economic constraints on transfer found in the new Act.

DISCHARGING STUDENT LOANS IN BANKRUPTCY: THE BANKRUPTCY COURT TESTS OF "UNDUE HARDSHIP" .....	<i>Kurt Wiese</i>	445
---	-------------------	-----

This Note addresses the problem of discharging student loans in bankruptcy. The author examines both the scope and the source of the problem and concludes that the tests employed by a majority of the courts are unrealistic because they are based on the no longer tenable assumption that education is an inherently valuable asset.

CONFIDENTIALITY OF SEXUAL ASSAULT VICTIM- COUNSELOR COMMUNICATION: A PROPOSED MODEL STATUTE .....	<i>Kim E. Williamson</i>	461
---	--------------------------	-----

This Note discusses the inherent conflict between the privacy interests of the victim-claimant and the defendant's sixth amendment right to confrontation. The author proposes a model statute for reconciliation of these interests.

## *Recent Decisions*

- I. ANTITRUST
  - ARIZONA'S BAR EXAMINATION RECEIVES A PASSING GRADE  
*Hoover v. Ronwin*..... *Sara E. Morrissey* 489
- II. ENVIRONMENTAL LAW
  - PSYCHOLOGICAL HEALTH DAMAGE AS AN ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECT: Metropolitan Edison Co. v. People Against Nuclear Energy  
*Metropolitan Edison Co. v. People Against Nuclear Energy*..... *Ellen Harris Hoff* 497

