

ARIZONA LAW REVIEW

VOLUME 27

1985

NUMBER 2

CONTENTS

Page

Essays

- WOE UNTO YOU, LAW REVIEWS! *John E. Nowak* 317

Professor Nowak proposes that law reviews become a source of professional and societal feedback to judges and legislators concerning their exercise of political power. This can only be accomplished, he states, if law reviews shed the stylistic and subject matter "straight jacket" which now constrains them.

- THE INSANITY DEFENSE: THUMBS DOWN
TO WEXLER'S "OFFENSE-VICTIM" LIMITATION : . . . *Donald J. Hall* 329

Professor Hall urges the rejection of Professor Wexler's proposal to limit the insanity defense to intra-family homicides. The author asserts that no principle governing insanity pleas can be premised upon the identity of the victim.

- THE "OFFENSE-VICTIM" INSANITY
LIMITATION: A REJOINDER.....*David B. Wexler* 335

Responding to Professor Hall's criticism of his proposal regarding the use of the insanity defense, Professor Wexler decries attempts to limit the debate over the insanity defense, urging that fresh approaches are necessary in the face of repeated attempts to abolish the defense.

Articles

- BIG MACS AND RADIO SHACKS: ANTITRUST
POLICY FOR BUSINESS FORMAT FRANCHISES *Kurt Strasser* 341

Fast food and other variants of business format franchises present unique problems for antitrust law enforcement. While franchise agreements are facially and potentially anti-competitive, they also facilitate an unqualified marketplace success. Professor Strasser argues that courts must, therefore, supervise anti-competitive restrictions while not interfering with the basic franchise arrangement.

- A POLICY REVIEW OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S
RELOCATION OF NAVAJO INDIANS UNDER P.L. 93-531
AND P.L. 96-305 *Hollis A. Whitson* 371

The Hopi and Navajo tribes, the United States District Court, various federal agencies and private parties are enmeshed in a hundred-year-old dispute over who may use and live on thousands of acres of land in northeastern Arizona. The author assails the relocation program currently being employed to resolve that dispute as ignoring the needs of the Hopi and Navajo people who are most affected by the dispute.

Notes

PORNOGRAPHY AND THE FIRST AMENDMENT:

- THE FEMINIST BALANCE** *Angela A. Liston* 415

This Note examines a recent attempt to regulate pornography, explores pornography's harm to women, and proposes an amendment to Arizona's criminal obscenity statute to address this harm.

FEDERAL JURISDICTION UNDER THE ALIEN TORT

- CLAIMS ACT: CAN THIS ANTIQUATED STATUTE
FULFILL ITS MODERN ROLE?** *E. Hardy Smith* 437

Recent attempts to bring international human rights violators within the jurisdiction of the federal district courts have drawn new attention to 28 U.S.C. section 1350. This Note examines the various interpretations which have been given to the Alien Tort Claims Act.

THE FBI AND DISSIDENTS: A FIRST AMENDMENT

- ANALYSIS OF ATTORNEY GENERAL SMITH'S 1983 FBI
GUIDELINES ON DOMESTIC SECURITY
INVESTIGATIONS** *Mitchell S. Rubin* 453

The government has a legitimate interest in protecting the public from politically motivated violent crimes. In meeting these concerns the government must also give full cognizance to individual constitutional guarantees. The author reviews current federal guidelines for gathering domestic intelligence.

Recent Decisions

I. CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

- FOURTH AMENDMENT RIGHTS FOR PRISONERS: AN
UNREASONABLE EXPECTATION?**
Hudson v. Palmer *Abigail L. Jones* 477

II. COPYRIGHT

- WHOSE IDEA IS IT ANYWAY: THE COPYRIGHT TO THE
TANGIBLE EXPRESSION OF ONE'S IDEAS**
Landsberg v. Scrabble Crossword Game Players, Inc.
..... *Joseph M. Sorrentino* 485

III. CRIMINAL LAW

- A. ARIZONA V. RUMSEY: IMPLIED ACQUITTAL AND THE
BIFURCATED CAPITAL MURDER PROCEEDING**
Arizona v. Rumsey *Gregory K. Stapley* 493
- B. POOL V. SUPERIOR COURT: ARIZONA ADOPTS BROAD
DOUBLE JEOPARDY PROTECTIONS FOR CRIMINAL
DEFENDANTS IN THE AFTERMATH OF OREGON V. KENNEDY**
Pool v. Superior Court *Kip Martin* 505
- C. STATE V. WUSSLER: AN UNFORTUNATE CHANGE IN
ARIZONA'S LESSER-INCLUDED OFFENSE JURY INSTRU-
CTION**
State v. Wussler *Sharon I. Kurn* 515

IV.	DEFAMATION	
	<i>CARLSON V. PIMA COUNTY: A LOOK AT THE PUBLIC RECORDS LAW AND THE PRIVILEGE TO DEFAME IN ARIZONA</i>	
	<i>Carlson v. Pima County</i>	<i>Cynthia T. Kuhn 529</i>
V.	ETHICS	
	<i>IN RE SWARTZ: JUDICIAL REGULATION OF EXCESSIVE CONTINGENT FEES IN ARIZONA</i>	
	<i>In re Swartz</i>	<i>Timothy Creed Lothe 539</i>
VI.	EVIDENCE	
	<i>JONES V. PAK-MOR: ARIZONA'S EQUITABLE TREATMENT OF SAFETY HISTORY EVIDENCE</i>	
	<i>Jones v. Pak-Mor</i>	<i>Ronna L. Fickbohm 553</i>
VII.	FAMILY LAW	
	<i>A CHILD'S RIGHT TO INDEPENDENT COUNSEL IN ARIZONA DEPENDENCY PROCEEDINGS: AN ANALYSIS OF IN RE THE APPEAL IN YAVAPAI COUNTY JUVENILE ACTION NO. J-8545</i>	
	<i>In Re the Appeal in Yavapai County Juvenile Action No. J-8545</i>	<i>Elaine Welle Myers 565</i>
VIII.	TAX	
	A. <i>WISTUBER V. PARADISE VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DIS- TRICT: ARIZONA ADOPTS AN "EQUITABLE AND REASON- ABLE CONSIDERATION" TEST TO IDENTIFY GIFTS OF PUBLIC FUNDS TO PRIVATE ENTITIES</i>	
	<i>Wistuber v. Paradise Valley Unified School District</i>	<i>Scott Meyer 579</i>
	B. <i>I.R.C. SECTION 74 AND JONES V. COMMISSIONER OF INTERNAL REVENUE: AN AWARD-WINNING DECISION</i>	
	<i>Jones v. Commissioner</i>	<i>Joseph M. Rosenbaum 585</i>

