ARIZONA LAW REVIEW

VOLUME 27 1985 NUMBER 1

CONTENTS

Page

Essays

DRUG ABUSE, COURTS-MARTIAL, AND RANDOM URINALYSIS—AN UNWORKABLE COMBINATION ..... *David L. Abney* 1

This Essay challenges drug abuse courts-martial grounded solely on a random urinalysis. The author questions the reliability and constitutionality of random urinalysis and suggests, as an alternative to criminal prosecution, deference to more flexible administrative adjudicative procedures in dealing with suspected drug abusers.

Articles

EIGHTH AMENDMENT CHALLENGES TO THE LENGTH OF A CRIMINAL SENTENCE: FOLLOWING THE SUPREME COURT "FROM PRECEDENT TO PRECEDENT" ..... *Thomas E. Baker & Fletcher N. Baldwin, Jr.* 25

The authors analyze recent Supreme Court decisions regarding the proportionality of criminal sentences. Their reconciliation of constitutional values suggest a new theory of decision, which is consistent with the history and tradition of the eighth amendment.

REGULATING LAWYERS' NEGOTIATIONS ..... *Rex R. Perschbacher* 75

The negotiation process is receiving increased attention from the legal profession. One aspect not receiving adequate attention to date is regulation of the conduct of the lawyer as negotiator. The author proclaims the need for a specialized body of legal rules which recognizes the lawyer-negotiator's obligations to both clients and other parties involved in the process.

Notes

ARCHITECTS' LIABILITY TO THIRD PARTY CONTRACTORS FOR ECONOMIC LOSS RESULTING FROM FAULTY PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS ..... *William Archer* 139

This Note addresses the various legal theories regarding architects' liability to third party contractors for economic loss resulting from faulty plans and specifications.

DIFFERING STANDARDS OF EMPLOYER LIABILITY FOR SEXUAL HARASSMENT OF WORKING WOMEN ..... *Claudia Laks Cerutti* 155

This Note examines and compares the various standards of employer liability under Title VII for sexual harassment of working women in three different contexts: harassment by supervisors, by co-workers, and by nonemployees.

BIAS AND INTEREST: SHOULD THEY LEAD TO DISSIMILAR RESULTS IN JUDICIAL DISQUALIFICATION PRACTICE ..... *Michael Nevels* 171

Current federal judicial disqualification practice utilizes different standards in addressing interest and bias, and thus yields conflicting results. This Note argues that the same objective standard should be applied to both factors.

NCAA V. BOARD OF REGENTS AND A TRUNCATED RULE OF REASON: RETAINING FLEXIBILITY WITHOUT SACRIFICING EFFICIENCY ..... *William J. Sims* 193

This Note suggests that the Supreme Court is in the process of developing a new rule of antitrust analysis. Calling the new approach a truncated rule of reason, the author concludes this represents the Court's efforts to promote judicial flexibility in the antitrust field.

Recent Decisions

I. CIVIL PROCEDURE

WORK PRODUCT: CLARIFYING THE SCOPE OF THE IMMUNITY AND A CENTRAL ISSUE EXCEPTION FOR MENTAL IMPRESSIONS

Brown v. Superior Court of Maricopa County ..... *Kevin J. Blakley* 211

II. EMINENT DOMAIN

EMINENT DOMAIN: JUDICIAL REVIEW IN CONDEMNATION UNDER ARIZONA'S SLUM CLEARANCE AND REDEVELOPMENT STATUTE

City of Phoenix v. Superior Court ..... *Michael D. Hoy* 223

III. EMPLOYMENT

LIMITING EMPLOYMENT-AT-WILL IN ARIZONA: *LEIKVOLD V. VALLEY VIEW COMMUNITY HOSPITAL*

Leikvold v. Valley View Community Hospital ..... *Guy D. Keenan* 235

IV. INSURANCE

THE SUSPENSION OF COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL BETWEEN INDEMNITORS AND INDEMNITEES IN THE CONFLICT OF INTEREST SITUATION

Farmers Insurance Co. of Arizona v. Vagnozzi ..... *Kathleen P. Sweeney* 243

V. MEDICAL MALPRACTICE
A. THE INCREASED RISK RULE: ESTABLISHING "PROBABLE" CAUSATION THROUGH MERE POSSIBILITY
Thompson v. Sun City Community Hospital, Inc.
..... *David W. Counce* 257

B. FOR WANT OF A NAIL: THE DISCOVERY RULE IN MEDICAL MALPRACTICE CASES
Kenyon v. Hammer.....*Lucile D. Sherman* 265

VI. PROPERTY
ARIZONA RECOGNIZES IMPLIED RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS: *SHALIMAR ASSOCIATION V. D.O.C. ENTERPRISES, LTD.*
Shalimar Association v. D.O.C. Enterprises, Ltd.
..... *Sharon Horlings* 277

VII. TORTS
A. GOVERNMENTAL LIABILITY FOR INJURIES CAUSED BY OPEN AND OBVIOUS DANGERS
Beach v. City of Phoenix .....*James L. Conlogue* 285

B. *INSURANCE COMPANY OF NORTH AMERICA V. PASAKARNIS*: THE NEW EMERGENCE OF THE "SEAT BELT DEFENSE"
Insurance Co. of North America v. Pasakarnis
..... *Eugene Nolan Goldsmith* 293

C. *GREEN ACRES TRUST V. LONDON*: TO WHAT EXTENT SHOULD ATTORNEYS BE PROTECTED BY COMMON LAW PRIVILEGES WHEN THEY DEFAME AN ADVERSARY VIA THE PUBLIC MEDIA
Green Acres Trust v. London.....*Stephen C. Yost* 303