

# ARIZONA LAW REVIEW

---

VOLUME 28

1986

NUMBER 1

---

## CONTENTS

*Page*

### *Essays*

- THE MARKET TEST FOR ATTORNEY FEE AWARDS:  
IS THE HOURLY RATE TEST MANDATORY? ..... *Dan B. Dobbs* 1

Professor Dobbs examines the confusion surrounding the awarding of attorney's fees in federal litigation and concludes that the most recent decisions of the Supreme Court should be read to give lower courts more flexibility in their methods for calculating attorney's fees.

- COLLECTIVE NEGOTIATIONS IN ARIZONA'S  
PUBLIC SCHOOLS: THE ANOMALY OF  
TOLERATED ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES ..... *Donal M. Sacken* 15

Professor Sacken looks at the legal foundation of collective bargaining agreements between teachers and school districts in Arizona. Concluding that acquiescence is a poor foundation for these agreements, Professor Sacken calls for a clear statement from the legislature.

- THE CURSE OF RELEVANCE: AN ESSAY ON THE  
RELATIONSHIP OF HISTORICAL RESEARCH  
TO FEDERAL INDIAN LITIGATION ..... *Robert N. Clinton* 29

In this Essay Professor Clinton looks at the problem of doing historical research which can also be used in contemporary litigation. Professor Clinton concludes that, with care, such historical research can serve both the demands of historical and litigational relevance.

### *Book Review*

- A UNIFORM SYSTEM OF CITATION ..... *William R. Slomanson* 47

### *Note*

- A SEPARATION OF POWERS  
ANALYSIS OF THE ABSOLUTE  
IMMUNITY OF PUBLIC ENTITIES ..... *James L. Conlogue* 49

This Note discusses the recently adopted Arizona statute governing public entity immunity. The Author examines the case law interpreting similar statutes and offers a method to interpret the implications of Arizona's new law.

## Comments

I.	CONFLICTS OF LAW	
	FLEXIBLE USE OF THE COMITY DOCTRINE RESOLVES TENSION IN THE WORKERS COMPENSATION ARENA <i>Fremont Indemnity Co. v. Industrial Comm'n of Arizona</i> .....	Brent Michael Ghelfi 63
II.	CONSTITUTIONAL LAW	
	POSTDEPRIVATION REMEDIES DON'T BAR SECTION 1983 ACTIONS BASED ON NON-RANDOM ACTS: <i>HAYGOOD v. YOUNGER</i> <i>Haygood v. Younger</i> .....	Carrie D. Stopek 73
III.	RESTITUTION	
	LIABILITY FOR INCIDENTAL BENEFICIARIES: CREATING THIRD PARTY LIABILITY IN QUASI-CONTRACT <i>Murdock-Bryant v. Taylor Pearson Construction, Inc.</i> .....	Milo R. Mecham 83
IV.	STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS	
	<i>HOSOGAI v. KADOTA</i> : THE BEGINNING OF THE END OF THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS <i>Hosogai v. Kadota</i> .....	Ann E. Birmingham 99
V.	TORT LAW	
	A. <i>SUMMERFIELD v. SUPERIOR COURT</i> : FETAL WRONGFUL DEATH ACTIONS IN ARIZONA <i>Summerfield v. Superior Court</i> .....	Kevin J. Blakley 109
	B. "FEED THE HUNGRY, BUT NOT ON OUR BLOCK"— <i>ARMORY PARK NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION v. EPISCOPAL COMMUNITY SERVICES IN ARIZONA</i> <i>Armory Park Neighborhood Association v. Episcopal Community Services in Arizona</i> .....	Timothy Creed Lothe 121
VI.	WORKERS' COMPENSATION	
	CAN THE OCCUPATIONAL DISEASE PROVISIONS OF THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION ACT FAIRLY COMPENSATE VICTIMS OF OCCUPATIONAL DISEASE? <i>Ford v. Industrial Comm'n of Arizona</i> .....	David K. Duncan 133