

2007

NUMBER 2

VOLUME 49

CONTENTS

PAGE
IN MEMORIAM

DEDICATION TO MICHAEL JOHN BROPHY

203

SYMPOSIUM
WATER LAW AND POLICY CONFERENCE

Toni M. Massaro DEAN'S WELCOME 207 **FOREWORD** Senator Jon Kyl & Ryan A. Smith 209 FROM A COLORADO RIVER COMPACT CHALLENGE TO THE NEXT ERA OF COOPERATION AMONG **SEVEN BASIN STATES** W. Patrick Schiffer, Herbert R. Guenther & Thomas G. Carr 217 TRANSFERRING MAINSTEM COLORADO RIVER WATER RIGHTS: THE ARIZONA EXPERIENCE Robert Glennon & Michael J. Pearce 235 BEYOND THE COLORADO RIVER: IS AN INTERNATIONAL WATER AUGMENTATION CONSORTIUM IN ARIZONA'S FUTURE? Karl Kohlhoff & David Roberts 257 **ENCOURAGING CONSERVATION BY ARIZONA'S**

PRIVATE WATER COMPANIES: A NEW ERA OF
REGULATION BY THE ARIZONA CORPORATION
COMMISSION

Kris Mayes 297

321
339
361
385
405
441
469
503

ON LITERATURE AS LEGAL AUTHORITY

John M. DeStefano III

521

This Note surveys the courts' use of poetry, fiction, and drama to develop substantive law. Combining the premises of legal realism and literary criticism, the Note rejects the position held by Judge Posner and other critics that literature is too subjective to offer the law legitimate substantive guidance. As caselaw examples demonstrate, the subjectivity of great writing can provide judicial opinions with a unique view to the complexity of life.

ARIZONA CASE NOTES

IN RE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

Jennifer Roth 553

The Arizona Supreme Court held that the Globe Equity Decree of 1935 precludes the San Carlos Apache Tribe from asserting additional claims to waters of the Gila River, but does not preclude the Tribe's claims to the waters of the Gila's tributaries. Even those competing claimants who were not parties to the Decree may assert its preclusive effect under the mutuality exception established by the Supreme Court in *Nevada v. United States*.

PARROT V. DAIMLERCHRYSLER CORPORATION: AUTOMOBILE LESSEE HAS NO REMEDY UNDER FEDERAL OR STATE WARRANTY LAWS

Jo Schumacher

563

The lessee of an automobile has no claim for relief under either the federal Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act or Arizona's Lemon Law, when the lessor of the vehicle has purchased it with the intent to resell it. The New Jersey Supreme Court did in a recent decision.

MEJAK V. GRANVILLE: LURING STATUTE APPLIES ONLY WHEN ACTUAL MINOR OR PEACE OFFICER IS INVOLVED

Katrina Shabal 571

Under Arizona Revised Statutes section 13-3554, a person commits the crime of luring a minor for sexual exploitation only if the person lured is actually a minor or a peace officer. The Arizona Supreme Court dismissed the indictment against the defendant because he had lured a news reporter posing as a minor, but noted that the defendant might have been charged with attempted luring or attempted sexual conduct with a minor.